

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,418	02/07/2002	Jean-Louis Gouret	219195US6	7880
22850	7590 11/20/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			EXAMINER	
			PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22202			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	6
		·	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ومي

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10 / 06 7418	Applicant(s)		
Examiner		Group Art Unit	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address - P riod for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\frac{1-\log n}{\log n}$ Month(s) from the mailing date of this communication.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

term adjustment. See 37 CFH 1.704(b). Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/29/02	·
☐ This action is FINAL.	•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal ma accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 	atters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Clạim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ a	• •
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119 (a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in App	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been re	
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT	
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-19
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth r
Office Action Summa	anv

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/067418 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-7,21, drawn to masking method, classified in class 427, subclass 282.

II. Claims 8-20, drawn to masking strip/ manufacture thereof, classified in class 428,

subclass 343.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as

claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed

can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the

instant case the product can be used in another and materially different process of use (1) for

other than painting, such as masking surfaces against particle blasting, chemical solutions,

washing, etc or (2) for attaching together pieces of paper, cardboard, etc or (3) for making

decorative designs of elongate cellular material adhesively attached to a surface.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Jim Hamilton on 11/19/02 to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made because a written

restriction was requested.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone number is (703) 308-3474.

Fred J. Parker

November 19, 2002

FRED J. PARKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

r10-067418